**As a developer would the model help you and why/why not?**

Yes, we think it would. The model is very detailed but still readable.

**Do you think a domain expert (for example the Secretary) would understand the model why/why not?**

Yes, as we wrote in the previous question the model is easily readable. The model uses relevant names for classes and associations .

**What are the strong points of the model, what do you think is really good and why?**

We think the model has 2 strong points.

Good naming and readability. With good naming and easy to understand associations to model gets much easier to read.

Model divided into fee collection and berth allocation. By dividing the model in two parts it gets easier to read and understand.

**What are the weaknesses of the model, what do you think should be changed and why?**

Where can we see how the calender is used in the club? The payment parts were not necessary in grade 3 but calender handling was. In some parts you used multiplicity and in other you did not.

**Do you think the model has passed the grade 3 critera?**

We think that the model is very good but since it doesn't show how the Calender is used in the club we are unsure if it passes the criteria.
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